

**Al-Ansari, N, Abdellatif, M, Zakaria, S, Mustafa, YT and Knutsson, S**

**Future Prospects for Macro Rainwater Harvesting (RWH) Technique in North East Iraq**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/2345/>

#### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Al-Ansari, N, Abdellatif, M, Zakaria, S, Mustafa, YT and Knutsson, S (2014) Future Prospects for Macro Rainwater Harvesting (RWH) Technique in North East Iraq. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 06 (05). pp. 403-420. ISSN 1945-3094**

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

# Future Prospects for Macro Rainwater Harvesting (RWH) technique in north east Iraq

Nadhir Al-Ansari<sup>1</sup>, Mawada Abdellatif<sup>2</sup>, Saleh Zakaria<sup>3</sup>, Y.T. Mustafa<sup>4</sup>, Sven Knutsson<sup>5</sup>

1, 3, 5 Lulea University, Sweden, 2Liverpool JM University, UK, 4University of Zakho, Duhok, Iraq

3 Dep. of Dams & Water Resources Eng., University of Mosul, Mosul 41002, Iraq.

Emails: 3saleh.zakaria@ltu.se, 4Y.T.Mustafa@uoz-krq.org, 5Sven.Knutsson@ltu.se

Correspondence to: N. A. Al-Ansari (nadhir.alansari@ltu.se)

## Abstract

Countries in Middle East and North Africa (MENA region) are considered arid and semi-arid areas that are suffering from water scarcity. They are expected to have more water shortages problem due to climatic change. Iraq is located in the Middle East covering an area of 433,970 square kilometers populated by 31 million inhabitants.

One of the solutions suggested to overcome water scarcity is Rain Water Harvesting (RWH). In this study Macro rain-water harvesting technique had been tested for future rainfall data that were predicted by two emission scenarios of climatic change (A2 and B2) for the period 2020-2099 at Sulaimaniyah Governorate north east of Iraq.

Future volumes of total runoff that might be harvested for different conditions of maximum, average, and minimum future rainfall seasons under both scenarios (A2 and B2) were calculated.

The results indicate that the volumes of average harvested runoff will be reduced when average rainfall seasons are considered due to the effect of climatic change on future rainfall. The reduction reached 10.82 % and 43.0% when scenarios A2 and B2 are considered respectively.

**Keywords:** Rain water harvesting; Sulaimaniyah; Iraq

## 1. Introduction

Water resources are very scarce in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA region) [1, 2, 3, and 4] where the annual rainfall does not exceed 166 mm [2] for this reason it contains hyper-arid, arid and semi-arid zones [5]. Twelve of the countries in the MENA region have less than 500 m<sup>3</sup> of renewable water resources per capita available [6-8]. Fresh potable water is essential for life, socioeconomic development, and political stability in the region [9]. It was reported that one cubic meter of water can provide drinking water for 1 person for 1 year or the same quantity can produce only 1 kg of food grain when used for irrigation in a dry climate [10]. The need for a rationalized holistic management of this most vital natural resource is paramount in order to attain a sustainable society [9]. Agriculture which accounts for about 66% of demand, [11] and therefore the water shortage problem cannot be objectively analyzed nor adequately addressed without a thorough consideration of agriculture in the region [12]. For example, it was stated that an approximate 10 % transfer of water away from agriculture would produce a 40 % increase in domestic water supply for Jordan. Postel [13] argues that water could be saved by

importing the food/grain rather than diverting precious water to agriculture. However, many Middle Eastern countries have unrealistic aspirations of food self-sufficiency and in it would require a most fundamental change in national outlook [14]. The extent of the problem is so severe that “the future challenges in meeting the growing demands for water are beyond the capabilities of individual countries” [12]. Mitigating supply shortfalls could be achieved by re-allocation of current agricultural supplies [3]. Several researchers had concluded that arid and semi-arid regions, like the MENA region, are highly vulnerable to climate change e.g. [15]. It is expected that the region will suffer from higher temperatures and intense heat waves affecting inhabitants and crop yields, and will also affect marine ecosystems and fisheries. Accordingly, less but more intense rainfall, coupled with higher temperatures, will likely cause more droughts and greater flooding, sea level rise, more intense cyclones and new areas exposed to dengue, malaria, and other vector and waterborne diseases. Future rainfall forecast indicates that it will be decreasing with time in Iraq and Jordan as an example [9, 16-18]. The drought will affect the agricultural life and water supply [19]. This is due to the fact that most of the agri-

cultural areas of the MENA region are rain-fed [20] and decreases groundwater recharge which is already depleting [21].

IPCC [22] in Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) gave details about climate models including the most important future scenarios of greenhouse emissions and aerosols as input to make a suite of projected future climate changes that illustrates the possibilities that could lie ahead. These scenarios involve different narrative storylines had been described as: A1, A2, B1 and B2. The A1 contain three groups A1FI, A1T, and A1B. These scenarios consider several criteria and factors such as the technological emphasis of fossil intensive, non-fossil energy sources and a balance across all sources respectively. A2 and B2 are some example about the storyline and scenario family that describes in [22]. For A2 storyline and scenario family, a very heterogeneous world was considered, the underlying theme was self-reliance and preservation of local identities, fertility patterns across regions converge very slowly, which results in continuously increasing global population, economic development was primarily regionally oriented and per capita economic growth and technological change was more fragmented and slower than in other storylines. While for B2 storyline and scenario family, a world in which the emphasis was on local solutions to economic, social, and environmental sustainability was considered. It is a world with continuously increasing global population at a rate lower than A2; intermediate levels of economic development are less rapid and more diverse technological change than in the B1 and A1 storylines, while the scenario is also oriented toward environmental protection and social equity, it focuses on local and regional levels.

Iraq is one of the countries in the MENA region. It covers an area of 433,970 square kilometers populated by 31 million inhabitants. Recently, it is suffering from water shortage problems [1, 24]. Rain water harvesting (RWH) was suggested as one of the solutions for the water shortage problems in Iraq [25-27]. Due to climate change, the precipitation patterns will be modified leading to extreme events which will affect the availability of water resources particularly in tropical and Mediterranean areas [28,29] and this will significantly affect sectors like agriculture, industry and urban development [30].

Many researchers studied climate impacts explained that understanding the potential effects of climate change is fundamental for informing both adaptation strategies and actions to avoid risky levels of climate change. Recently, there is a great attention given by the international community about the variability and trends of precipitation and their effects on the environment during the last century [31, 32].

A number of researchers studied the trends and variability of precipitation such as [16-18, and 33-40]. These studies had two goals, the first was to analyze the trends of long term time series of precipitation and the second was to analyze precipitation projections for the future climate.

Philandras et al. [41] analyzed (long term) trends and variability of annual precipitation and annual rain days over part of Mediterranean region, the regional simulation model (RACMO2.1/KNMI) were used with emission scenario A1B. The results showed that statistically significant negative trends of the annual precipitation totals exist in the majority of Mediterranean regions during the period 1901–2009. For the annual number of rain days, a clear reduction of 20%, statistically significant, founds in meteorological stations of east Mediterranean, while the trends were insignificant for west and central Mediterranean. The precipitation is very likely to be reduced almost 20% in the period 2071–2100 compared to 1961–1990, under SRES A1B.

Eugene [42] stated that “Climate data of the last century are not the only source for the best information available for future planning in the field of agriculture, water resources, ecosystems and human health. For climate science the observations are the main foundation, therefore models must now be used to synthesize observations and project future climate scenarios for decision-making on long term issues”.

Al-Ansari et al. [39, and 40] studied long term effect of climate change on rainfall in northwest and north-eastern Iraq respectively. The last study was at Sulaimaniyah Governorate, Kurdistan region of Iraq, the results indicated that the average annual rainfall showed a significant downward trend for both A2 and B2 scenarios for the period 1961-2099. Average monthly rainfall simulated by HadCM3 GCM for A2 and B2 scenarios of greenhouse emission for the three future periods compared with the baseline period showed some reduction in the monthly rainfall for the 2020s, 2050s and 2080s; however, 2080s experience largest drop especially during April and July months of A2 (51% and 77%) and during May and July of B2 (49% and 79%). Generally the projected rainfall in future varies significantly/slightly amongst the three future periods and the emission scenario considered as A2 experience more reduction relative to that predicted by scenario B2.

For the rain-fed areas at Iraq, rainfall is not sufficient to support economic crop yield during rainy seasons without irrigation. The average annual rainfall in Iraq is ranging from 154 to 216 mm/year [43, 44]. Practically, the technique of macro rainwater harvesting (RWH) might be one of the good solutions for the water shortage problem in Iraq. RWH technique is defined as “the col-

lection of runoff for its productive use” [45]. Boers and Ben-Asher [46] gave a more specific definition of RWH with specific details, where they defined RWH as “a method for inducing, collecting, storing, and conserving local surface runoff for agriculture in arid and semi-arid regions”. They explained that the RWH includes several processes dealing with collecting rainwater then to direct it to the target storage location (a surface reservoir or a soil profile). Furthermore, they specified the aim of this process for agriculture purposes. The annual rainfall (100–700) mm of low cost water harvesting might give an important new water source [47]. The main objective of RWH is to increase the availability of water but not its amount. The factors such as amount of rainfall and its distribution, land topography, soil type and soil depth, and local socio-economic may represent the main factors that affect water harvesting [47, and 48]. The productivity of the rainwater can be significantly improved by applying a specific technique such as Macro RWH, based on availability of a surface reservoir. By this technique, the excess rainwater (runoff) is stored in small reservoirs of small dams with different sizes to be supplied later when required [25-27, and 49]. RWH systems had proven to be an effective technique in different regions to achieve new water source that can be used for several purposes [47]. The present work is to test the future validity of Macro rainwater harvesting (RWH) technique at Sulaimaniyah Governorate using daily rainfall data that generated for the period (2020-2099) by Al-Ansari et al. [40]. The data were based on global climatic projections and their scenarios, using the HadCM3 Global Climate Model (GCM), Scenarios A2 and B2.

## 2. Methodology

Watershed modelling system (WMS) was used to estimate the harvested runoff at the selected five basins at Sulaimaniyah Governorate based on Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the study area. The runoff volumes were estimated based on soil conservation service curve number (SCS-CN) method. Therefore curve numbers (CN) values were estimated for each basin and modified for dry and wet conditions based on the information obtained from land use map, soil type and the selected basins. Then curve numbers (CN) values were modified for slope.

For the Soil Conservation Service, 1972 (SCS-CN) method, the tabulated curve number is equal to  $CN_{II}$ , for normal (average) conditions, and modified for dry and wet conditions, as explained by Chow et al. [50] through the following equations:

$$CN_I = \frac{4.2 * CN_{II}}{10 - 0.058 * CN_{II}} \quad (1)$$

$$CN_{III} = \frac{23 * CN_{II}}{10 + 0.13 * CN_{II}} \quad (2)$$

In which:

$CN_I$  = Curve number for dry condition

$CN_{III}$  = Curve number for wet condition.

Williams [51] developed an equation to adjust the curve number to a different slope [52 and 53]:

$$[CN_{II}]_{SLP} = \frac{(CN_{III} - CN_{II}) *}{3} [1 - 2 * \exp(-13.86 * SLP)] + CN_{II} \quad (3)$$

Where:

$[CN_{II}]_{SLP}$  = the curve number for average condition adjusted for the slope.

$SLP$  = the average fraction slope of the basin.

The details of the procedure and the calibration of the model are well described by [25, 26, 27, and 54].

## 3. Study area

Five basins were previously investigated [54] for Macro RWH at Sulaimaniyah Governorate (Figure 1) for recorded rainfall data for the period 2002-2012. Table 1 shows the properties of the selected basins. For the current work, the same locations were used with future rainfall in order to study future macro RWH technique and to make suitable comparison between historical and future runoff events. The details of study area can be summarized as follows: Sulaimaniyah Governorate is located north east of Iraq (35°33'40" N and 45°26'14" E) (Figure 1). The study area consists of five separated basins with total area of 176.79 km<sup>2</sup>, located about 7 km North West of Sulaimaniyah city. According to Buringh [55], the soil of the study area is of three main types (37, 38, and 39) as shown in Figure 2. Simak [56] indicated that the last two soil types can be identified as C, and D of the Hydrologic Soil Group according to the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (Conservation Engineering Division 1986).




Figure 1: Map of Iraq and the ten districts of Sulaimaniyah Governorate, source: [54].

Table 1: Properties of the selected basins at Sulaimaniyah Governorate, source: [54].

Basin	Basin Slop (m/m)	Basin Area (Km2)	Time of concentration (hr)	Length (km)	Elevation (m)	UTM Coordinate	
						E	N
1	0.2837	98.08	2.14	18.137	1179	534704	3944815
2	0.251	13.87	1.02	7.988	1211	528567	3942854
3	0.1848	7.35	0.61	4.858	1098	530133	3942576
4	0.2202	25.83	1.3	12.131	1182	525903	3940711
5	0.0688	31.66	1.54	8.587	855	522711	3939177




Figure 2: Soil map of Sulaimaniyah Governorate with boundaries of study area, source: [54].

Buringh [55] classified the soil type 37 as great Soil group of Chestnut soils and the soil type is silty clay, having a dark brown color, friable surface soil, usually with 1-4% of organic matter and less than 9 % lime.

The geographic location of Sulaimaniyah Governorate imposed a dry and warm summer for the period June to August, with temperature of 31.5 °C as average temperatures. The city is usually windy during winter. This season extends from December till February. The temperature during winter is about 7.6 °C. The average relative humidity for summer and winter are 25.5% and 65.6% respectively, while the evaporation reached 329.5 mm during summer and 53 mm during winter. Average wind speed during winter is about 1.2 m/sec and increases a little bit more during summer where it reaches 1.8 m/sec. Sunshine duration reaches 5.1 and 10.6 hr. during winter and summer respectively.

The rainfall season may starts in October at Sulaimaniyah with light rainfall storms and it intensifies during November and continues till May. Rainfall records for the period 2002-2012, showed that, the driest season was recorded in 2008-2009 of 328 mm and the maximum rainfall depth was in 2003-2004 of 848 mm. The season 2010-2011 represent the average rainy season (548.8 mm) for the period 2002-2012.

The Land use / land cover (LULC) map of Sulaimaniyah Governorate (Figure 3) was derived from satellite imagery. The weighted average CN values for five selected basins were estimated depending on area of specific land use land cover as a percent of total basin area and calibrated based on antecedent moisture conditions (AMC) for dry, normal (average), and wet conditions depending on the total antecedent rainfall depth of five days as formulated by SCS-CN method. Then CN values were adjusted for slope using Williams' formula [51] for each basin (Table 2).

#### 4. Rainfall data and estimation runoff

The rainfall data that were used in this work had been diverted by Al-Ansari et al. [40]. They used HadCM3 Global Climate Model (GCM) with grid resolution of 2.50x3.750 in order to provide future climate scenarios for the periods 2020-2099. Both A2 and B2 emission scenarios were employed. Daily rainfall data for projections are related to emission uncertainty. In this work, future rainfall based on A2 and B2 scenarios were used in order to investigate macro RWH technique and then to estimate future expected harvested runoff for individual daily future rainstorms. The estimation runoff was based on Soil Conservation Service-curve number (SCS-CN) method using Watershed Modelling System (WMS) with Data Elevation Model (DEM) of Sulaimaniyah Gover-

norate. Future rainfall of scenarios A2 and B2 are graphically presented in figure 4. The future season of maximum, minimum and average annual rainfall for both scenarios A2 and B2 were identified (Table 3) and then each daily rainstorm of these seasons that might produce runoff were identified with the hydraulic conditions of the catchment area (Tables 4-9).

#### 5. Results and discussion

IPCC [22] Indicated that "Four qualitative storylines yield four sets of scenarios called families including A1 (A1FI, A1B, and A1T), A2, B1, and B2. Altogether 40 SRES scenarios had been developed by six modelling teams. All are equally valid with no assigned probabilities of occurrence". The impact of climatic change is associated with large uncertainties. Predicted rainfall data can give some idea about future prospects of the status of rainfall. This can help in estimating hydraulic events such as runoff [23]. Predicted rainfall under both scenarios A2 and B2 [40], showed linear trend indicating that there is a significant decrease in total seasonal rainfall depth with time (Figure 4). Using rainfall data for both scenarios the maximum, minimum and average rainfall seasons were identified (Table 3). Analyzing the identified data, day by day, helps to pinpoint the individual rainstorms that may produce runoff (Tables 4 to 9). The individual rain storm depth and span time between rain storms play important role to produce the hydraulic condition (dry, normal, and wet) of the catchment area. However, each of the above selected seasons contains some rain storms (but not all) that can produce runoff. For example, under scenario A2, the maximum rainfall season (2020-2021) includes 24 individual rainstorms that may produce runoff, their depths ranged between 12.7 to 38 mm. Moreover, the associated hydraulic conditions depending on antecedent moisture conditions (AMC) were 3, 10 and 11 events under dry, normal and wet conditions respectively. Identifying the AMC was based on the total antecedent rainfall depth for 5 consecutive days, which were identified in the daily rainfall data for scenarios A2 and B2 for the study area according to the documentation by SCS-CN method and that had explained by [50]. Accordingly, the identified rainstorms for each selected season for both scenarios might give runoff results as shown in figures 5 and 6 respectively. Moreover, the total future harvested runoff for scenarios A2 and B2 for the selected maximum, average, and minimum seasons are represented in figures 7.




Figure 3: Land use / land cover map of Sulaimaniyah Governorate (on left), with enlarge view of study area, source: [54].

Table 2: Curve number (CN) values before and after adjustment for slope, source: [54].

Basin	Values of CN			Adjustment CN values for slope		
	CN-Normal	CN-dry	CN-wet	CN-Normal	CN-dry	CN-wet
1	76.3	75.5	88.1	80.1	62.8	90.2
2	80.1	62.8	90.3	83.3	67.7	92.0
3	79.1	61.4	89.7	82.1	65.8	91.3
4	80.4	63.3	90.4	83.4	67.9	92.0
5	76	57.1	87.9	76.9	58.3	88.5




Figure 4: Average annual rainfall for A2 scenario (upper) and B2 scenario (lower), source: [40].



**Table 3: Selected seasons of future average annual rainfall depth for scenarios A2 and B2 for the period 2019-2099 [40].**

Scenario A2			
No.	Rainfall depth (mm)	Season	Notes
1	1018.3	2020-2021	Maximum rainfall season
2	583.8	2057-2058	Average rainfall season
3	302.2	2090-2091	Minimum rainfall season

Scenario B2			
1	936.0	2046-2047	Maximum rainfall season
2	592.7	2027-2028	Average rainfall season
3	407.1	2081-2082	Minimum rainfall season

**Table 4: Maximum Rain Season 2020-2021 for Scenario A2[40]**

Day	Month	Year	Rainfall depth	Condition
5	11	2020	14.8	Dry
16	11	2020	17.8	Dry
5	12	2020	19.1	Normal
11	12	2020	18.2	Normal
13	12	2020	12.7	Wet
15	12	2020	21.3	Wet
16	12	2020	15.7	Wet
17	12	2020	26.2	Wet
27	12	2020	30.0	Normal
28	12	2020	21.0	Wet
21	1	2021	14.2	Dry
23	1	2021	20.7	Normal
26	1	2021	30.7	Wet
5	2	2021	12.9	Normal
9	2	2021	21.	Normal
11	2	2021	16.2	Wet
13	2	2021	30.9	Wet
2	3	2021	29.8	Normal
22	3	2021	24.6	Normal
25	3	2021	33.4	Wet
26	3	2021	33.1	Wet
6	4	2021	36.6	Normal
5	5	2021	19.7	Normal
7	5	2021	38.6	Wet

**Table 5: Average Rain Season 2057-2058 for Scenario A2[40].**

Day	Month	Year	Rainfall depth	Condition
18	11	2057	27.2	Dry
7	12	2057	24.2	Normal
17	12	2057	16.0	Dry
19	2	2058	13.4	Normal
20	2	2058	25.6	Wet
26	2	2058	28.1	Normal
30	2	2058	20.2	Wet
8	3	2058	16.7	Dry
9	3	2058	30.4	Normal
20	3	2058	17.4	Dry
15	5	2058	18.5	Dry
19	5	2058	32.0	Normal

**Table 6: Minimum Rain Season 2090-2091 for Scenario A2[40].**

Day	Month	Year	Rainfall depth	Condition
26	12	2090	19.5	Dry
15	1	2091	27.0	Normal
2	2	2091	12.6	Dry
21	2	2091	13.6	Dry
19	4	2091	14.7	Dry

**Table 7: Maximum Rain Season 2046-2047 for Scenario B2[40].**

Day	Month	Year	Rainfall depth	Condition
1	11	2046	37.2	Dry
3	11	2046	39.7	Wet
18	11	2046	16.8	Dry
12	12	2046	21.8	Wet
22	12	2046	19.0	Normal
1	1	2047	13.6	Normal
9	1	2047	21.2	Normal
14	1	2047	14.8	Normal
15	1	2047	20.8	Normal
22	1	2047	16.4	Normal
23	1	2047	20.2	Wet
26	1	2047	17.3	Wet
4	2	2047	12.7	Normal
5	2	2047	15.1	Normal
6	2	2047	12.9	Wet
8	2	2047	20.1	Wet

15	2	2047	27.3	Dry
21	2	2047	13.6	Dry
4	3	2047	22.2	Dry
8	3	2047	39.1	Normal
13	3	2047	27.7	Wet
17	3	2047	31.5	Normal
2	4	2047	15.3	Dry
9	4	2047	28.3	Dry
25	4	2047	35.8	Dry
30	4	2047	26.2	Wet

**Table 8: Average Rain Season 2027-2028 for Scenario B2[40].**

Day	Month	Year	Rainfall depth	Condition
10	12	2027	38.1	Normal
24	1	2028	17.3	Normal
27	1	2028	15.1	Wet
3	2	2028	26.9	Normal
11	2	2028	19.1	Normal
24	2	2028	13.0	Dry
25	2	2028	16.9	Normal
27	2	2028	22.3	Wet
28	2	2028	17.0	Wet
1	3	2028	27.0	Wet
7	3	2028	19.2	Dry
23	3	2028	19.1	Dry
3	4	2028	14.2	Dry
5	4	2028	18.6	Normal
29	4	2028	20.2	Dry

**Table 9: Min. Rain Season 2081-2082 for Scenario B2[40].**

Day	Month	Year	Rainfall depth	Condition
6	12	2081	15.6	Dry
23	12	2081	13.0	Normal
11	1	2082	14.0	Dry
13	1	2082	17.5	Normal
24	1	2082	13.0	Dry
2	4	2082	18.5	Dry
27	5	2082	19.1	Dry
29	5	2082	22.0	Normal




Figure 5: Future harvested runoff with scenario A2 for the selected season.




Figure 6: Future harvested runoff with scenario B2 for the selected season.




Figure 7: Total future harvested runoff with scenario A2 and B2 for the selected seasons.

The comparison between scenarios A2 and B2 show that: For maximum rainfall seasons (tables 4 and 7), the total numbers of rainstorms that can produce runoff were very close when comparing both scenarios (24 and 26 rainstorms might occur in 2020-2021 according to scenarios A2 and 2046-2047 for B2 respectively). These rainstorms occur with various hydraulic conditions of the catchment area (dry, normal, and wet). Thus, 3 rainstorms, with depths ranging between 14.2-17.8 mm, might occur with dry hydraulic conditions under scenarios A2. They didn't produce any runoff, which reflect the important role of low value of the curve number (CN) under dry conditions that reduces the rainstorm ability to produce runoff. While for scenario B2, 8 rainstorms were recognized. Their depths were ranging between 13.6 to 37.2 mm, occurred under dry hydraulic conditions. Some of these rainstorms of high depth of rainfall (more than 35 mm) had produced runoff while the others did not. All the remaining rainstorms with normal and wet conditions, for both scenario A2 and B2 produced runoff.

The maximum rainstorm depth was 38.6 mm for the wet condition of scenario A2, which produced runoff of 3.24 million cubic meters. The water was distributed in reservoirs 1 to 5 as follows: 1.77, 0.29, 0.14, 0.54, and 0.50 million cubic meters respectively. For scenario B2 however, the maximum rainstorm depth was 39.7 mm for the wet condition which produced runoff of 3.38 million cubic meters that was distributed in reservoirs 1 to 5 as follows: 1.85, 0.3, 0.15, 0.56, and 0.52 million cubic meters respectively. These results reflect the effective role of CN value with wet conditions to produce a good quantity of harvested runoff.

Furthermore, the total runoff that might be harvested for the whole maximum season (2020-2021 under scenario A2) in all basins reached up to 21.19 million cubic meters (Figure 7) which was distributed in reservoirs 1 to 5 as follows 11.41, 2.05, 0.99, 3.82, and 2.92 million cubic meters respectively. For scenario B2, the water harvested during the maximum season (2046-2047) reached up to 13.62 million cubic meters (Figure 7) which was distributed in reservoirs 1 to 5 as follows: 7.26, 1.36, 0.64, 2.54 and 1.82 million cubic meters respectively. The results showed that the water harvested using scenario A2 was more than that of scenario B2 for the season with maximum rainfall expected.

The differences in the volume of harvested water between the two scenarios are due to the differences in rainstorms. The total numbers of rainstorms with wet conditions under scenario A2 was 11 which are more than what occurred under scenario B2 which was 8. It should be noted that, the remaining rainstorms of the season with normal and wet conditions didn't satisfy equilibrium case. Indeed

the numbers of rainstorms with wet conditions are not important as much as the total rainfall depths. The summation of these 11 rainstorms depth (under scenario A2) is 280.3 mm and the summation of these 8 rainstorms depth (under scenario B2) is 186.2 mm (Tables 4 and 7).

The comparison for the average rainfall seasons of rainfall under scenarios A2 and B2 (Table 5 and 8), showed that there were 12 and 15 events represent total numbers of rainstorms that might produce runoff with different hydraulic conditions that may occur during 2057-2058 and 2027-2028 under scenario A2 and B2 respectively. For these seasons, all the rainstorms with dry conditions didn't produced runoff under both scenarios A2 and B2 due to low rainfall depths in addition the effect of dry CN. The total runoff that might be harvested from all the basins for the average seasons under scenario A2 reached up to 4.96 million cubic meters (Figure 7) which was distributed in reservoir 1 to 5 as follows 2.63, 0.52, 0.24, 0.97, and 0.61 million cubic meters respectively. For the average season under scenario B2 the harvested water volume reached up to 6.11 million cubic meters (Figure 7) which was distributed in reservoir 1 to 5 as follows 3.24, 0.63, 0.30, 1.18, and 0.76 million cubic meters respectively.

For average rainfall scenarios, the scenario A2 produced harvested runoff less than scenario B2. This was due to the fact that, scenario A2 had 2 events with wet condition of 45.9 mm as a total rainfall depth and 4 events with normal condition with total rainfall depth of 97.8 mm. This is less relative to scenario B2 that had 4 events with wet condition of total rainfall depth of 81.5 mm and 6 events with normal condition of total rainfall depth of 137.1 mm.

The comparison for the minimum rainfall seasons under scenarios A2 and B2 (Table 6 and 9), showed that there were 5 and 8 rainstorms that may produce runoff with just dry and normal hydraulic conditions that may occur during 2090-2091 and 2081-2082 under scenario A2 and B2 respectively.

Due to limited number of rainstorms in these seasons, wet condition didn't achieved.

For the minimum seasons of rainfall under scenarios A2 just one event of 27.0 mm of rainfall depth with normal condition produced runoff. While under scenarios B2 there were 3 events of 52.6 mm of total rainfall depth with normal condition produced runoff. When all basins are considered, the total runoff that might be harvested for the minimum rainfall season under scenario A2 reached up to 0.5 million cubic meters (Figure 7) which distributed in reservoir 1 to 5 as follows 0.26, 0.06, 0.03, 0.11, and 0.05 million cubic meters respectively. For scenario B2 however, the volume of harvested water reached up to

0.31 million cubic meters (Figure 7) which was distributed in reservoir 1 to 5 as follows 0.15, 0.04, 0.02, 0.08, and 0.02 million cubic meters respectively.

For minimum rainfall seasons, the water that might be harvested using scenario A2 was more than that of scenario B2. It should be noted that under both scenarios (A2 and B2) just one event with normal condition produced runoff due to combined effect of low rainfall depth and low dry CN values. The rainstorm depth under scenario A2 was 27.0 mm which is greater than that of scenario B2 (22.0 mm).

Macro RWH technique in the same study area was applied using recorded rainfall data for the period 2002-2012 [54]. In that work, season 2010-2011 represented the average rainy season of 548.8 mm (Figure 8). The comparison of average runoff for the two periods (future and historical) can be achieved considering no change in curve number values and time period. Future forecasted rainfall extends on a period of 79 years (seasons 2020-2099). The results indicated those seasons 2057-2058 of A2 and 2027-2028 of B2 scenarios are very close to the average of the whole record as shown in Figure 7 (brown colour). Season 2010-2011; represent the average rainfall for the period 2002-2012 (11 years) as shown in Figure 8.

Although there is big difference between the years number of future and historical periods, but this kind of comparison may highlight the idea about the future of the study area and the validity of macro RWH technique with risk of uncertainty.

However, the results showed that the volume of total average harvested runoff for historical period was 10.72 million cubic meters, distributed on the reservoirs 1 to 5 as follows: 5730509.9, 1071155.6, 510044.7, 2002434.4, and 141184.3 cubic meters.

The comparison of average runoff for the two periods (future and historical) showed that the quantity of runoff for future period of both scenarios A2 and B2 reached up 9.56 or 6.11 million cubic meters respectively. This is less than that of the historical period that reached up 10.72 million cubic meters. This is due to the decrease of total average future rainfall, which is in agreement with the scenarios of climatic change. However, the percentage of average decrease in average total harvested runoff between historical and future period of scenario A2 is 10.82 % and for scenario B2 is 43.0%, which is an important indicator about what might happen in hydraulic future events taking in to consideration the impact of climatic change which is associated with large uncertainties.




Figure 8: Total average harvested runoff for the period 2002-2012 source: [54].



## 6. Conclusion

Regardless of the causes of climate change, the climate change might cause direct consequences affecting all life components including water scarcity.

Forecasted rainfall data can help to estimate hydraulic events such as runoff, then water harvesting techniques that can be used in future planning for agricultural activities in arid and semi-arid regions.

In this study RWH technique had been tested for future rainfall that was predicted by two emission scenarios of climatic change (A2 and B2). The results showed that: For maximum rainfall conditions, scenario A2 produced harvested runoff more than scenario B2 where the total runoff that might be harvested for the maximum seasons (2020-2021 under scenario A2) and (2046-2047 under scenario B2) reached 21.19 and 13.62 million cubic meters respectively.

For average rainfall conditions, scenario A2 produced harvested runoff more than scenario B2. The total runoff that for the average seasons 2057-2058 under scenario A2 and 2027-2028 under B2 reached 9.56 and 6.11 million cubic meters respectively.

During minimum rainfall conditions, scenario A2 produced harvested runoff more than scenario B2 where the harvested water for scenario A2 (2090-2091) and B2 (2081-2082) were 0.5 and 0.31 million cubic meters respectively.

The comparison of average runoff for the two periods (future and historical) can be estimated considering no change in curve number values and time period.

The results showed that the volume of total average harvested runoff for historical period was 10.72 million cubic meters.

The percentage of average decrease in average total harvested runoff between historical and future period of scenario A2 was 10.82% and for scenario B2 was 43.0%, which is an important indicator about what may happen in hydraulic future events taking into consideration the impact of climatic change which is associated with large uncertainties.

## 7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mrs Semia Ben Ali Saadaoui of the UNESCO-Iraq for her encouragement and support. Deep thanks go to the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Baghdad, Iraq; Mosul university, Mosul, Iraq for their support. Thanks for Sulaimaniyah University, Iraq for providing some related data.

The research presented has been financially supported by Luleå University of Technology, Sweden and by "Swedish Hydropower Centre—SVC" established by

the Swedish Energy Agency, Elforsk and Svenska Kraftnät together with Luleå University of Technology, The Royal Institute of Technology, Chalmers University of Technology and Uppsala University. Their support is highly appreciated.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N.A. Al-Ansari, Management of Water Resources in Iraq: Perspectives and Prognoses, *J. Engineering*, V.5, 8, 667-684, 2013.
- [2] N.A. Al-Ansari, Water Resources in the Arab countries: problems and possible solutions, UNESCO International Conference on World Water Resources at the Beginning of the 21st Century 3-6 June, Paris, 1998.
- [3] P. Rogers and P. Lydon (eds.) *Water in the Arab World*, Massachusetts, USA: Harvard University Press, 1995.
- [4] A.K. Biswas (ed.) *International Waters of the Middle East— From Euphrates, Tigris to Nile*. Bombay, India: Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [5] WRI, World Resources Institute, Drylands, People, and Ecosystem Goods and Services: A Web-based Geo-spatial Analysis, 2002. Available online at: <http://www.wri.org>
- [6] C.C. Cherfane and S.E. Kim, Arab region and Western Asia, UNESCWA, in *Managing Water under Uncertainty and Risk*, UN World Water Development Report 4, Chapter 33, 2012.
- [7] J. Barr, S. Grego, E. Hassan, M. Niasse, W. Rast and J. Talafre, Regional challenges, global impacts, in *Managing Water under Uncertainty and Risk*, UN World Water Development Report 4, Chapter 7, 2012.
- [8] C. Bao and C. Fang, Water resources constraint force on urbanization in water deficient regions: A case study of the Hexi Corridor, arid area of NW China, *Ecological Economics* 62, 508-517, 2007.
- [9] N.A. Al-Ansari, N. Alibrahiem, M. Alsaman and S. Knutsson, *Water Demand Management in Jordan*, *J. Engineering*, 6 (1), 19-26, 2014.
- [10] C. J. Perry and J. Bucknall, Water Resource Assessment in the Arab World: New Analytical Tools for New Challenges, in Jagannathan, N.J., Mohamed, A.S. and Kremer, A. (eds.), *Water in the Arab World: Management perspectives and Innovations*, World Bank, Middle East and North Africa Region, Washington, 2009.
- [11] M. Hiniker, *Sustainable Solutions to Water Conflicts in the Jordan Valley*. Geneva: Green Cross International, 1999.
- [12] A.K. Sadik, and S. Barghouti, The water problems of the Arab world: Management of scarce water resources, In P. Rogers and P. Lydon (eds.), *Water in the Arab World*. Massachusetts, USA: Harvard University Press. 4-37, 1995.
- [13] S. Postel, *Last Oasis – Facing Water Scarcity*. New

- York:Worldwatch Institute WW Norton & Co,1992.
- [14] B. Charrier and F. Curtin, A vital paradigm shift to maintain habitability in the Middle East: the integrated management of international watercourses, In *Water for peace in the Middle East and Southern Africa*. Geneva: Green Cross International. 11-17, 2000.
- [15] IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), *Climate change impacts, adaptation and vulnerability*". Cambridge University Press, Geneva, 2007.
- [16] N.A. Al-Ansari, S. Baban, Rainfall Trends in the Badia Region of Jordan, *Surveying and Land Information Science*, 65(4), 233-243, 2005.
- [17] N.A. Al-Ansari, E. Salameh, and I. Al-Omari, Analysis of Rainfall in the Badia Region, Jordan, *Al-Bayt University Research paper No.1*, 66p, 1999.
- [18] N.A. Al-Ansari, B. Al-Shamali, and A. Shatnawi, Statistical analysis at three major meteorological stations in Jordan, *Al Manara Journal for scientific studies and research*, 12, 93-120,2006.
- [19] M. Medany, Impact of Climate Change on Arab Countries, Chapter 9, 2008. [www.afedonline.org/afedreport/english/book9.pdf](http://www.afedonline.org/afedreport/english/book9.pdf)
- [20] T. Oweis, and A. Hachum, Water Harvesting and Supplemental Irrigation for Improved Water Productivity of Dry Farming Systems in West Asia and North Africa, "New directions for a diverse planet". Proceedings of the 4th International Crop Science Congress, 26 Sep-1 Oct, 2004. Brisbane, Australia. Published on CDROM. [www.cropscience.org.au](http://www.cropscience.org.au).
- [21] K.A. Voss, J.S. Famiglietti, M.H. Lo C. Linage, M. Rodell and S. Swenson, Groundwater depletion in the Middle East from GRACE with implications for trans-boundary water management in the Tigris-Euphrates-Western Iran region, *Water Resources Research*, V.49,2,904-914,2013.
- [22] IPCC (The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), *Emissions Scenarios, Summary for Policy-makers, Special Report of IPCC Working Group III*, 4-5pp, 2000.
- [23] S. Zakaria, N.A. Al-Ansari, and S. Knutsson, Future Prospects for Macro Rainwater Harvesting (RWH) technique in Northwest Iraq. Accepted *J. of Earth Sciences and Geotechnical Engineering*, sub. 2013.
- [24] N.A. Al-Ansari, and S.Knutsson, Toward Prudent management of Water Resources in Iraq, *J. Advanced Science and Engineering Research*, V. 1,53-67, 2011.
- [25] [25] N.A. Al-Ansari, M. Ezz-Aldeen, S. Knutsson, and S. Zakaria, Water harvesting and reservoir optimization in selected areas of south Sinjar Mountain, Iraq, *ASCE J. Hydrologic Engineering*, V. 18, 12, 1607-1616, 2013.
- [26] S. Zakaria, N. A. Al-Ansari, S. Knutsson and M. Ezz-Aldeen, "Rain Water Harvesting and Supplemental Irrigation at Northern Sinjar Mountain, Iraq," *Journal of Purity, Utility Reaction and Environment*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 121-141, 2012.
- [27] S. Zakaria, N. A. Al-Ansari, S. Knutsson and M. Ezz-Aldeen, "Rain Water Harvesting at Eastern Sinjar Mountain, Iraq," *Journal of Geoscience Research*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 100-108, 2012c.
- [28] P.C.D. Milly, K.A Dunne and A.V Vecchia,, Global patterns of trends in streamflow and water availability in a changing climate, *Nature* 438 (17) 347-350, 2005.
- [29] F. Giorgi, Climate Change Hotspots, *Geophysical Research Letters* 33, L08707, Implementation of Decision 1/CP.10 of the UNFCCC Convention, 2006.
- [30] K. Hailemariam, Impact of climate change on the water resources of Awash River Basin, Ethiopia, climate research, *International and Uldidisciplinary Journal* 12, 91-96, 1999.
- [31] C. K. Folland and T. R. Karl, Observed climate variability and change. In J. T. Houghton, Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, and Johnson C. A. (eds.), *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Third IPCC Scientific Assessment*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 99–181, 2001.
- [32] X. Zhang, W. Hogg, and E. Mekis, Spatial and Temporal characteristics of heavy precipitation events in Canada, *J. Climate*, 14, 1923–1936, 2001.
- [33] H. T. Mantis, C. C. Repapis, C. M. Philandras, A. G.Paliatsos, and G. T. Amanatidis, The spatial and temporal structure of the precipitation climate the Eastern Mediterranean, Background for a study of Climate Change, EUR 17458 – Eastern Europe and Global Change, edited by: Ghazi, A., Mathy, P., and Zerefos, C., Greece, 125–131, 1994.
- [34] D. A. Metaxas, C. M. Philandras, P. T. Nastos, and C. C. Repapis, Variability of Precipitation pattern in Greece during the year, *Fresen. Environ. Bull.*, 8, 1–6, 1999.
- [35] A. G. Paliatsos, P. T. Nastos, G. Tzavelas, and D. B. Panagiotakos, Characteristics of precipitation in urban Athens area, from 1891 to 2000, *Fresen. Environ. Bull.*, 14, 422–428, 2005.
- [36] Pnevmatikos, J. D. and Katsoulis, B. D.: The changing rainfall regime in Greece and its impact on climatological means, *eteorol. Appl.*, 13, 331–345, 2006.
- [37] H. Feidas, N. Nouloupoulou, T. Makrogiannis, and E. Bora-Senta, Trend analysis of precipitation time series in Greece and their relationship with circulation using surface and satellite data: 1955– 2001, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 87, 155–177, 2007.
- [38] P. T. Nastos, Spatial and temporal variation of rain intensity in Greece, in: *Proceedings of 8th International Hydrogeological Congress*, Athens, Greece, 651–660, 2008.
- [39] N.A. Al-Ansari, M. Abdellatif, S. Ali, and S. Knutsson, Long Term Effect of Climate Change on Rainfall in Northwest Iraq, Accepted *Central European Journal of Engineering*, 2014.
- [40] N.A. Al-Ansari, M. Abdellatif, M. Ezeelden, S. Ali,

- and S. Knutsson, Climate Change and Future Long Term Trends of Rainfall at North-eastern Part of Iraq, Submitted to J. Civil Engineering and Arch. 2014.
- [41] C. M. Philandras, P. T. Nastos, J. K. Kapsomenakis, C. Douvis, G. Tselioudis, and C. S. Zerefos, Long term precipitation trends and variability within the Mediterranean region, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 11, 3235–3250, 2011.
- [42] S. Takle Eugene, Assessment of Potential Impacts of Climate Changes on Iowa Using Current Trends and Future Projections, Climate Science Program, Iowa State University, 26 October 2011. [http://climate.engineering.iastate.edu/document/climate\\_changes\\_for\\_iowa\\_12.pdf](http://climate.engineering.iastate.edu/document/climate_changes_for_iowa_12.pdf)
- [43] N. A. Al-Ansari, H. I. Assaid and V. N. Salim, Water Resources in Iraq, *Journal of the Geological Society*, 15, 35-42, 1981.
- [44] FAO, “Water Profile of Iraq”, 2008.
- [45] K. Siegert, Introduction to water harvesting: Some basic principles for planning, design and monitoring. Water Harvesting for Improved Agricultural Production. Proceedings of the FAO Expert Consultation, FAO, Cairo, Rome, 1994.
- [46] T.M. Boers, and J. Ben-Asher, A review of rainwater harvesting. *Agriculture Water Managem*, 5, 145-158, 1982.
- [47] D. Prinz, Water harvesting: Past and future. In L.S., Pereira (Ed.), *Sustainability of Irrigated Agriculture*. Proc. NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Vimeiro, 21-26.03, Balkema, Rotterdam, 135-144, 1996.
- [48] W. Crichtley and C. Siegert, *Water Harvesting Manual*. FAO Paper AGL/MISC/17/91, FAO, Rome, 1991.
- [49] S. Zakaria, N. A. Al-Ansari and S. Knutsson, Rainwater Harvesting Using Recorded and Hypothetical Rainfall Data Scenarios, *J. of Earth Sciences and Geotechnical Engineering*, 3, 2, 21-42, 2013.
- [50] V.T. Chow, David R. Maidment, Larry W. Mays, *Applied Hydrology*, McGraw Hill, NY., 277, ISBN 0-07-100174-3, 147-151, 1988.
- [51] J.R. Williams, The EPIC model, Chapter 25, In V.P. Singh (ed.), *Computer models of watershed hydrology*, Water Resources publications, Highlands Ranch, CO, 1995.
- [52] Neitsch, S.L., Arnold, J.G., Kiniry, J.R. and Williams, J.R. (2005) Soil and water assessments tool theoretical documentation, 102. <http://swat.tamu.edu/media/1292/swat2005theory.pdf>
- [53] ASCE/EWRI Curve Number Hydrology Task Committee (2009) Curve number hydrology: State of the practice. In: Hawkins, R.H., Ward, T.J., Donald, E.W. and VanMullem, J.A., Eds., *American Society of Civil Engineers*, Reston, Virginia, 116.
- [54] S. Zakaria, Yaseen T. Mustafa, D. A. Mohammed, S. S. Ali, N.A. Al-Ansari and S. Knutsson, Estimation of annual harvested runoff at Sulaimaniyah Governorate, Kurdistan region of Iraq, *J. Natural Science*, 5, 12, 1272-1283, 2013.
- [55] P. Buringh, *Soils and soil conditions of Iraq*, Ministry of Agriculture, Agricultural Research and Projects, Baghdad, 1960.
- [56] P. Šimák, *Hydrological study of the ashi baram dam*, PhD. Dissertation Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Sweden, 2009.